



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/534,438

05/10/2005

Akihiro Morikawa

10873.1702USWO

4795

52835

7590

02/28/2008

HAMRE, SCHUMANN, MUELLER & LARSON, P.C.

P.O. BOX 2902

MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0902

EXAMINER

KIANNI, KAVEH C

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2883

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

02/28/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/534,438	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MORIKAWA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Kianni C. Kaveh	<b>Art Unit</b> 2883	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Kianni C. Kaveh. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Karuna Ojanen. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 20 February 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: US 6371664 B1.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: In response to Ms. Ojanen assertion that Takahashi does not teach a groove with a wall in which adhesice is flown/adhered onto one of its surface and that the groove is in parallel with the optical devic, the examiner referred to fig.7-8, in which the adhesive would flow into the groove 35 but not som much as to overflow towrd the laser chip 7 (see col. 13, lines 54-59) and secondlythe grrove as shown is in parallel with laser device.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Kianni C Kaveh/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2883

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required